

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/576,742	08/28/2006	Reinhard Lantzsch	CS8789BCS033090	8299
34469 7590 10/15/2007 BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP Patent Department			EXAMINER	
			STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE	
2 T .W. ALEXANDER DRIVE RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/576,742	LANTZSCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re	ATION. ply be timely filed				
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	. cause the application to become ABA	ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Septe	ember 14, 2006 (Prelimin.	Amendment).				
,	,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
o) Claim(s) 10-33 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
Attachment(s)	, .	(DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of It	nformal Patent Application —				

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 18-33 are pending in the application. This
Office Action supercedes the Office Action dated
September 27, 2007 since the Preliminary Amendment
filed September 14, 2006 was not taken into
consideration when the previous Office Action was
prepared.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 18-26, drawn to a process for preparing fluoromethyl-substituted heterocycles of

Art Unit: 1626

formula (I) wherein A is a pyrazole ring which is substituted by R^4 .

Group II, claim(s) 18-26, drawn to a process for preparing fluoromethyl-substituted heterocycles of formula (I) wherein A is a thiazole ring which is substituted by \mathbb{R}^4 .

Group III, claim(s) 18, 19 and 22-26, drawn to a process for preparing fluoromethyl-substituted heterocycles of formula (I) wherein A is an oxazole ring which is substituted by R⁴.

Group IV, claim(s) 27 and 28, drawn to a process for preparing fungicidally active carboxamides of formula (VII) wherein A is a pyrazole ring which is substituted by \mathbb{R}^4 .

Group V, claim(s) 27 and 28, drawn to a process for preparing fungicidally active carboxamides of formula (VII) wherein A is a thiazole ring which is substituted by R^4 .

Group VI, claim(s) 27 and 28, drawn to a process for preparing fungicidally active carboxamides of formula (VII) wherein A is an oxazole ring which is substituted by R^4 .

Group VII, claim(s) 29-31, drawn to chloromethylsubstituted heterocycles of formula (II) wherein A is a pyrazole ring which is substituted by R^4 .

Group VIII, claim(s) 29, 32 and 33, drawn to chloromethyl-substituted heterocycles of formula (II) wherein A is a thiazole ring which is substituted by R^4 .

Art Unit: 1626

Group IX, claim(s) 29, drawn to chloromethyl-substituted heterocycles of formula (II) wherein A is an oxazole ring which is substituted by R^4 .

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. The compounds claimed contain a chloromethyl-substituted heterocycle ring which does not define a contribution over the prior art (see, for example, the compound of CA Registry No. 123374-32-3, in CA 111:194759, 1989). The substituents on the chloromethyl-substituted heterocycle vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the

Art Unit: 1626

invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter imposes a burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be

Art Unit: 1626

accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election

Art Unit: 1626

of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the

Art Unit: 1626

examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D

Page 8

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

October 10, 2007